

# Economic Assessment of the Impacts of Climate Change in Uganda

National-level assessment

# **Climate Change and Agriculture**

#### Introduction

The agricultural sector is a fundamental part of the Ugandan economy, employing about 66 percent of the working population in 2009/10 and contributing about 22 percent to total GDP in the year 2012 (UBOS, 2013). This brief reports on the findings of a recent study to assess the economic impacts of climate change on agriculture. The report assessed the potential economic impacts of climate change on (i) food crops (ii) livestock and (iii) export crops. It has also made an assessment of the current and future economic impacts of droughts and floods on agriculture in Uganda.

### **Estimated Impacts**

#### **Food Crops**

The overall aim of the economic assessment of food crops was to estimate possible future losses of these products and of their economic value, comparing selected climate change scenarios with a no climate change scenario.

Under the scenarios considered overall losses for food crops by 2050 are not likely to be more than US\$1.5 billion and could well be less. Under the

assumed growth in the economy this would be less than 0.2 percent of GDP in that year.

Other key preliminary findings include:

- Results for production and value changes show great divergence between different climate models, and different regions (with the largest impacts in the East and North for all crops).
- Most of the 11 modelled food crops show reductions in total national production under almost all climate change scenarios to 2050 (e.g. cassava, maize, millet, groundnuts); some cases show both increases and decreases depending on the model (e.g. maize).
- For some crops the impacts on production of climate change in 2050 are quite significant in percentage terms (e.g. cassava, potato and sweet potato show around 40 percent reductions). In most other cases, the percentage reduction is less than 10 percent (e.g. millet, sorghum and pigeon peas).

#### Livestock

Estimated impacts on livestock production are quite small in all cases (1 or 2 percent). However, this modelling is only for yield and area whereas the key impacts on livestock may come from other climate change factors, in particular droughts, floods and diseases.



MAKERERE UNIVERSITY



#### **Agricultural Exports**

Agricultural exports represented about 50 percent of the total export value of Uganda in 2013. Coffee contributes about 18% of total exports. An illustrative estimate of the value of losses due to a 50% reduction (due to climate change) in production of Arabica and Robusta coffee combined, would be about US\$1,235 million in 2050. The value of losses in a 75% reduction scenario would be about US\$1850 in 2050.

Estimates of impacts on tea growing areas also indicate significant losses of value and some potential losses of cotton production are projected.

Taken together these results indicate the potential for Uganda agricultural export production and value to be strongly affected by climate change in the period up to 2050 in the absence of adaptation actions. Overall, the study estimates that value of losses in production due to a 50% reduction in production of coffee and tea combined may be about US\$1,400 million in 2050. The value of losses in the 75% reduction scenario for coffee and tea combined may be about US\$2,100 million in 2050.

#### **Extreme Events**

For some agricultural products the threat from droughts and floods appears to be more important than the threat from decreased yields.

It is widely accepted that extreme weather events have been increasing and becoming more severe in recent years. To give an indication of the order of magnitude of current losses, these are estimated to be about US\$470 million to food crops, cash crops and livestock as a whole, resulting from the 2010-11 drought (OPM (2012)). This equates to about 16 percent of the total value of these items in GDP for 2011. The annual damage figure of US\$47million to crops from the 2008 drought (given in NEMA, 2008) is equal to approximately 3 per cent of the value of all cash and food crops.

Contact: olivier.beucher@baastel.com

## **Adaptation Priorities**

Overall this report concludes the immediate priority in terms of adaptation action is the threat from droughts and floods. It is already present and needs urgent action.

In addition, the Government of Uganda has identified eight areas of adaptation for the agricultural sector, with a proposed budget over the next 15 years of about US\$297 million (MWE, 2012). The proposed measures deal with current climate variability and can be justified on those grounds. Hence they are part of addressing the adaptation deficit and if implemented effectively should provide benefits irrespective of future climatic change.

- Promote and encourage highly adaptive and productive crop varieties and cultivars in drought-prone, flood-prone and rain-fed farming systems
- Promote and encourage highly adaptive and productive livestock breeds
- Promote and encourage conservation agriculture and ecologically compatible cropping systems to increase resilience to the impacts of climate change
- Promote sustainable management of rangelands and pastures through integrated rangeland management
- Promote irrigated agriculture by encouraging irrigation systems that use water sustainably
- Promote and encourage agricultural diversification, and improved post-harvest handling, storage and value addition
- Support community-based adaptation strategies through expanded extension services and improved systems for conveying timely climate information to rural populations for enhanced climate resilience of agricultural systems
- Develop innovative insurance schemes (lowpremium micro-insurance policies) and lowinterest credit facilities to insure farmers against crop failure and livestock loss due to droughts, pests, floods and other weather-related events.

This document is an output from a project funded by the UK Department for International Development (DFID) and the Netherlands Directorate-General for International Cooperation (DGIS) for the benefit of developing countries. However, the views expressed and information contained in it are not necessarily those of or endorsed by DFID or DGIS, who can accept no responsibility for such views or information or for any reliance placed on them. This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, the entities managing the delivery of the Climate and Development Knowledge Network do not accept or assume any liability, responsibility or duty of care for any consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. CDKN is led and administered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Management of the delivery of CDKN is undertaken by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, and an alliance of organisations including Fundación Futuro Latinoamericano, INTRAC, LEAD International, the Overseas Development Institute, and SouthSouthNorth.